

Hypotheses on the Textual Interrelations of Some Later Manuscripts of the Platonic *First Alcibiades*

Akitsugu Taki

Abstract

Methodologically applying Pasquali's proposal in textual criticism, '*recentiores, non deteriores*', and by inspecting digital and microfilm reproductions, I newly collate some later, mainly 15th to 16th century, manuscripts of the Platonic *First Alcibiades* and, as a result, propose hypotheses on their textual interrelations in the transmission of the work.

Key Words: textual criticism; the *First Alcibiades*; Plato; Parisinus gr. 1814; Neapolitanus gr. 337 (III E 15); Neapolitanus gr. 340 (III E 18); Angelicus gr. 107; Monacensis gr. 408; Palatinus gr. 175; Codex Bodmer 136; Conventi Soppressi 180; Vindobonensis suppl. gr. 55; Sitavianus MSS A2.

1. Introduction

Whether or not the text of a work diverges so much the more from the original each time another copy is made from a copy is not *a priori* true but is true empirically, as Pasquali suggests.¹ Establishing this truth depends on the collation of the work's manuscripts. If scribes use a single exemplar and try to faithfully transcribe the text there, one more handwritten copying of a given copy in transmission will very likely add some more handwritten-specific errors to the textual transmission, but whether all the scribes have done this is not known until what each has done is described by collation. Hence for the recension of the Platonic *First Alcibiades* its whole textual transmission must be described beforehand.

Following this methodology, I have proposed hypotheses on some of the *First Alcibiades'* later manuscripts, Florentines (Laurentiani Plut. 59.1, Plut. 85.6, Plut. 85.9 and Plut. 85.12), Venetians (Veneti gr. 590, 189 (S), 186 and 184 (Ξ)) and a Coislan (Coislianu 155), and on hypothetically supposed manuscripts which Ficino used in his translation and editors used in 16th century printed editions of Plato's Works.² In addition to these hypotheses, I propose in what follows hypotheses on some more of the later manuscripts, Parisinus gr. 1814, saec.

XVI, Neapolitanus gr. 337 (III E 15), saec. XIII, Neapolitanus gr. 340 (III E 18), saec. XV,
Romanus, Angelicus gr. 107, saec. XIV, Monacensis gr. 408, anno 1490, Palatinus gr. 175, saec.
XV, Codex Bodmer 136, saec. XIV-XV, Fiorentinus, Conventi Soppressi 180, saec. XV,
Vindobonensis suppl. gr. 55, saec. XV, and Sitavianus MSS A2, saec. XV.

2. Sigla:

2. 1. the group including the primary manuscripts, B, C and D

β: the B group: BV C DΨ

B: Bodleianus E.D. Clarke 39, 895 CE (B)

V: Vaticanus gr. 225, saec. XIII

C: Tubingensis gr. Mb 14, saec. XI

D: Venetus gr. 185, saec. XII

Ψ: Scorialensis Ψ i. 1, saec. XVI

2. 2. the group including the primary manuscripts, P, T and W

P: Palatinus gr. 173, saec. X

W: Vindobonensis suppl. phil. gr. 7, saec. XI

L: Lobcowicz VI Fa 1, saec. XII-XIII, descriptus ex W

o: Vaticanus gr. 228, saec. XIV, descriptus ex L

R: Vaticanus gr. 1029, saec. XIV, descriptus ex L

T: Venetus App. Cl. IV, 1, saec. X

Γ: Coislianus 155, saec. XIV, descriptus ex T

d: Laurentianus Plut. 85.12, saec. XIV, descriptus ex T

Pa⁰⁸: Parisinus gr. 1808, ?saec. XIII

Sc: Scorialensis Y. I. 13, saec. XIII

Pa¹¹: Parisinus gr. 1811, saec. XIV

s: Vaticanus gr. 1030, n.d.

Pa¹²: Parisinus gr. 1812, saec. XIV

b: Laurentianus Plut. 85.6, saec. XIII

Bdm: Bodmer 136, saec. XIV-XV

Ld: Etwall's text (Etwall 1771) for Bodleianus Laud. 16, saec. XV

Pa⁰⁹: Parisinus gr. 1809, saec. XV

M: Caesenas Malatestianus 28.4, saec. XIII-XV

Y: Vindobonensis phil. gr. 21, saec. XIII-XV (103a1-119e1 καταφρο- : scriba primus; 119e1-νηθέντας
-135e8: scriba secundus)

a: Laurentianus Plut. 59.1, saec. XIV

N: Neapolitanus gr. 340 (III E 18), saec. XV

c: Laurentianus Plut. 85.9, saec. XV

V⁵⁹⁰: Venetus gr. 590, saec. XIV

S: Venetus gr. 189, saec. XIV

V¹⁸⁶: Venetus gr. 186, saec. XV

Ξ: Venetus gr. 184, saec. XV

τ: T Pa⁰⁸ Pa⁰⁹ M Sc Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Ld Y a N c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ P W L o R

2. 3. The later manuscripts I inspect for this article

Pa¹⁴: Parisinus gr. 1814, saec. XVI (prima tria folia (103a1-107b7) continens), descendens ex Ang

N³⁴⁰: Neapolitanus gr. 340 (III E 18), saec. XV, descendens ex a

N³³⁷: Neapolitanus gr. 337 (III E 15), saec. XIII (post locum 116a6 altera manu, quam N³³⁷₂
nomino, in singulo columno exaratus)

Mo: Monacensis gr. 408, anno 1490, gemellus cum Z

Pal: Palatinus gr. 175, saec. XV, gemellus cum CS¹⁸⁰

Bdm: Codex Bodmer 136, saec. XIV-XV, descendens ex Sc

Ang: Romanus, Angelicus gr. 107, saec. XIV, descendens ex Pa⁰⁸

CS¹⁸⁰: Fiorentinus, Conventi Soppressi 180, saec. XV, descendens ex Y

W⁵⁵: Vindobonensis suppl. gr. 55, saec. XV, descendens ex Y

Z: Sitavianus MSS A2, saec. XV, descendens ex Y

2. 4. Some other sigla

X^{ac}: lectio ante correctionem

X^{pc}: lectio post correctionem

s.l. vel sl: supra lineam

i.m.: in margine

i.t.: in textu

i.r.: in rasura

om.: omisit vel omiserunt

add.: addidit vel addiderunt

scrips.: scripsit vel scripserunt

supp.: supplevit vel suppleverunt

] : post hoc signum lectionem falsam cum eius codicibus significo

codd.: cuncti codices quos inspexi

cet.codd.: ceteri codices quos inspexi

3. Hyptheses on the Textual Interrelations

3. 1. Codex Parisinus gr. 1808 and its relative texts

Hypothesis 1-1: Ang descends from Pa⁰⁸, not T.

At 110c8, Ang agrees with T and Pa⁰⁸ against all the others or the Y subgroup and has a better reading with T and Pa⁰⁸ against the Y subgroup there (for this subgroup see 3.9).

110c8: οὐν β T Γ Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa⁰⁹ M Sc cet.codd.: γοῦν Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S
V¹⁸⁶ Ξ

This conjunction is corroborated by the following two variants in breathing.

105a4 ḥ cet.codd.: ḥ VT Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa¹⁴ Sc

106a3 ḥ cet.codd.: ḥ T Pa⁰⁸ Ang

However, Pa⁰⁸ and Ang agree in omission against T at 109c1.

δεινὸν τοῦτό γε ... τις ... πράττοντας πολεμεῖν scrips. T Γ d W⁵⁵ M^{pc}(s.l. altera manu πολεμεῖν) s Ld Ξ

δεινὸν τοῦτό γε ... τι ... πράττοντας πολεμεῖν Bdm

πολεμεῖν Pa^{12ac} (δεινὸν τοῦτό γε ... πράττοντας om. Pa^{12ac} et i.m. post πολεμεῖν altera manu δεινὸν τοῦτό γε ... τι ... πολεμεῖν supplev. Pa^{12pc})

δεινὸν τοῦτό γε ... τις ... πράττοντας (om. πολεμεῖν) Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa⁰⁹ M^{ac} Sc b N³³⁷ Pa¹¹

δεινὸν τοῦτό γε ... πράττοντας in exemplo, in quo πολεμεῖν forte omittitur, causa τὰ δίκαια πράττοντας homoeoteleuti om. Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a^{ac} N³⁴⁰ V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac}

δεινὸν τοῦτο ...πολεμεῖν supplev. i.m. altera manu α^{pc} et altro atramento V^{186pc}(exemplo aliquo praeter Pa⁰⁸ Pa⁰⁹ M^{ac} W^{mg} (δεινόν γε τοῦτο))

δεινὸν το ὁμολογήσειν c^{ac} (extra lineam (το-)ῦτό γε ... ποάττοντας οὐκ ἀν om. c^{ac} et altera manu altro atramento supplev. c^{pc})

Moreover, at 111a8 Pa⁰⁸ and Ang in the reading before and after their scribes' correction agree in error against T.

αὐτὰ β T Γ W^{55ac} Sc N³³⁷ Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Ld Bdm V^{186pc}(altera manu α in ους inpositus) Ξ:

αὐτὸ P W L o R W^{55pc}(altera manu o fecit) Pa^{08ac} Ang^{ac} Y^{ac}

αὐτοὺς Pa^{08pc}(in textu eadem manu υς) Ang^{pc}(ligatura ου pro ο refecto σ add.) Pa⁰⁹ M Y^{pc}(s.l. eadem manu υς) Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c b V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac}

περὶ αὐτὰ secl. Ficinus (*quod bonos decebat praeceptores habere*)

This separation is corroborated by the variants at 106c9 and in the scholium ad 106a2.³

106c9 ḥ cet.codd. Ang^{pc}(spiritu atque accentu refecto) Pa¹⁴: ḥ Pa⁰⁸ Ang^{ac}(ut videtur) scholion ad 106a2 ἀτοπώτερος: παραδοξότερος θαυμασιώτερος T(παρα- compendio in forma ut πε viso)(πτε) Pa⁰⁹ M W: θαυμασιώτερος παραδοξότερος Sc Pa¹¹ s: περιδοξότερος θαυμασιώτερος Pa⁰⁸(περι- compendio, ε supra π scripto, falso ex παρα- compendio in T) Ang περιδοξότερος (περι- compendio, ε supra π scripto) V¹⁸⁶i.m. (altera manu): om. B L o R Pa¹⁴ W⁵⁵ Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ b N³³⁷ Pa¹² Bdm

Hypothesis 1-2: Neither Ang nor Pa¹⁴ descends directly from any of the Y subgroup.

The Y subgroup agree in error and elision against Ang and Pa¹⁴.

105b5 δ' β T Pa⁰⁸ Γd W⁵⁵ Ang Pa¹⁴ Pa⁰⁹ M Sc Pa¹¹ s b N³³⁷ Pa¹² P W L o R Bdm: δὲ Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ

105c2 δοκεῖς cet.codd.: δοκῆς C Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a c V⁵⁹⁰ S W⁵⁵ W L o R δοκοῖς N³³⁷

Hypothesis 1-3: Ang and Pa¹⁴ descend from Pa⁰⁸ and not with the ordering reversed.

Ang and Pa¹⁴ agree in omission and error against all or most of the other manuscripts.

104d1 τοι cet. codd. *venerat enim in animum mihi*, vertit Ficinus: τι Ang Pa¹⁴; τοι om. V^{186ac} et nigriore atramento altera manu supplev. V^{186pc}

105c3 ἐμπλήσεις] ἐμπλήσης Ang Pa¹⁴

106c9 ἀποκρίναιο cet.codd. *quid responderes ad haec*, vertit Ficinus] ἀποκρίναι Ang Pa¹⁴

Ang does not descend directly from Pa⁰⁹ or Y.

130d6:

ἡμῶν αὐτῶν Μ^{pc}(altera manu s.l. αὐτῶν) V^{186pc}(altera manu s.l. αὐτῶν) Ξ^{pc}(altera manu s.l. αὐτῶν) a N³⁴⁰ c CS¹⁸⁰ Pal cet.codd.:

αὐτῶν ἡμῶν P

αὐτῶν om. Pa⁰⁹ M^{ac} Y Z Mo V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac} Ξ^{ac};

Hypothesis 1-4: None of Pa⁰⁸, Pa⁰⁹, Y and M descends directly from Ang.

scholium ad 103a4 προσηγόρευσα Pa⁰⁸ Pa⁰⁹ Y M: om. Ang

Hypothesis 1-5: Ang does not descend directly from Pa¹⁴.

Pa¹⁴ has an omission or error against Ang.

numerus operis: IF(=13) Ang om. Pa¹⁴

scholia: Ang om. Pa¹⁴

104c5 ἥντιν' ἔχων ἐλπίδα T Γd P⁰⁸ Ang^{pc}(altera manu v s.l.) Pa⁰⁹ Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal
a^{pc}(altera manu v i.t.) N³⁴⁰ V⁵⁹⁰ S M V¹⁸⁶ Ξ W⁵⁵ Pa¹¹ s^{pc}(s.l. v ead. manu) b^{pc}(altera manu
v i.t.) Pa¹² Ld Bdm P W L o R: ἥντινα ἔχων ἐλπίδα β: ἥντιν' ἔχω ἐλπίδα Ang^{ac} Pa¹⁴ a^{ac}
c Sc s^{ac} b^{ac} N³³⁷ *quamve spem de te conceperim, qui caeteris fugientibus ego omnium solus
persesti, vertit Ficinus*

103b4 ἔσχες] ἔχ Pa¹⁴ ες supra ἔχ scripsit Pa¹⁴

105d5 δύναμιν ἔχειν homoeoarcti causa iteravit Pa^{14ac} et punctis notavit Pa^{14pc}

3. 2. Codex Scorialensis Y. I. 13 and its relative texts

Hypothesis 2-1: Sc, b, N³³⁷, s, Pa¹¹, Pa¹², Ld and Bdm form a subgroup descendant from T, not Pa⁰⁸.

Sc, b, N³³⁷, s, Pa¹¹, Pa¹², Ld and Bdm agree in one-word omission against the other manuscripts.

106e5 δὴ cet.codd. : om. Sc Pa¹¹ s b N³³⁷ Pa¹² Bdm Ld

This group agree in addition or omission against the other manuscripts, although sometimes with the exception of some members: in one-word addition, except Ld, at 107b1, in multi-word omission, except b and N³³⁷, at 122e5, in one-word omission, except b and N³³⁷, at 118c5, 119d1, and 123d5, in one-word omission, except Pa^{11pc}, b^{pc}, Bdm and Ld, at 115b3, and in multi-word omission, except b, N³³⁷, Bdm and Ld, at 126a7 (see below).

107b1 ναί cet.codd. b^{pc}(nigro atramento ναί alterum delevit): ναί; ναί. Sc Pa¹¹ s b^{ac} N³³⁷
Pa¹² Bdm Ld

115b3 ἀπῆλθον b^{pc} Pa^{11pc} Bdm Ld cet.codd.: om. Sc s b^{ac} N³³⁷ Pa^{11ac} Pa¹²

118c5 νῦν ἔτι τηλικοῦτος b N³³⁷ cet.codd.: νῦν τηλικοῦτος Sc s Pa¹¹ Pa¹² Bdm Ld

119d1 γε scrips. Pa⁰⁸ b N³³⁷ cet.codd.: om. Sc Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Bdm Ld

122e5 ἐκ τῶν b N³³⁷ cet.codd.: om. Sc Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Bdm Ld

123d5 νῦν ἐπιχειρεῖ b N³³⁷ cet.codd.: lacuna Sc om. Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Bdm Ld

126a7

διοικεῖται σῶμα καὶ σώιζεται / σώζεται β Pa^{11pc} Bdm Ld

ἄμεινον διοικεῖται σώματα Pa^{12pc}

διοικεῖσθαι σώματα καὶ σώιζεσθαι / σώζεσθαι cet.codd. Z Mo b N³³⁷

ῶσπερ ἀν εἰ (126a6) ... ἀπογιγνομένου (a8) causa homoeoteleuti om. Sc s Pa^{11ac} Pa^{12ac} CS¹⁸⁰ Pal et altero atramento supp. i.m. Pa^{11pc}; ὕσπερ ἀν εἰ παραγιγνομένου ἡ ἀπογιγνομένου ἄμεινον διοικεῖται σώματα eadem manu falso supp. Pa^{12pc}

This group, although sometimes with the exception of some member, agree in error against the other manuscripts.

105c2 μοι δοκεῖς/δοκῆς] δοκεῖς μοι Sc Pa¹¹ b N³³⁷ s Pa¹² Ld Bdm

105c6 ἔχεις ταύτην τὴν ἐλπίδα cet. codd. et Ang Pa¹⁴ Z N³⁴⁰ CS¹⁸⁰ Pal W⁵⁵ Mo: ταύτην τὴν ἐλπίδα ἔχεις Sc Pa¹¹ s b N³³⁷ Pa¹² Ld Bdm

105e3 οὐτε cet.codd.: οὐτ' Γ d Sc Pa¹¹ s b N³³⁷ Pa¹² Ld Bdm

106d7 μήτε ...μήτε/μήτ' s^{pc}(duabus litteris erasis) b^{pc}(nigro atramento πο erasit) Bdm cet.codd.: μήποτε ... μήποτε ... Sc Pa¹¹ s^{ac}(ut videtur) b^{ac} N³³⁷ Pa¹²

118a9 πολύ γε cet.codd.: πολλή γε Sc Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Ld πολλύ γε Bdm

Hypothesis 2-2: This group, called the Sc subgroup below, descends from T, not Pa⁰⁸, Pa⁰⁹, Y or M.

Hypothesis 2-2-1: None of Pa⁰⁸, Pa⁰⁹, Y and M descends from Sc.

For the evidence see the variants at the scholium ad 103a4 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-4.

Hypothesis 2-2-2: Neither the Sc nor the Y subgroup descends directly from Pa⁰⁹.

105b8 εὐρώπηι/εὐρώπη/εὐρώπη] ἐρώπη Pa⁰⁹

105e7 ώς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ BV D Ψ ΤΓ Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa¹⁴ Y Z a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S M V^{186pc}(v eraso) Ξ W⁵⁵ CS¹⁸⁰ Pal Mo Sc Pa¹¹ s b N³³⁷ Pa¹² Ld Bdm d P W L ώς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖν C Pa⁰⁹ V^{186ac} post θεὸς transposuerunt o R

Hypothesis 2-2-3: None of the Sc subgroup descends directly from Y or Pa⁰⁹.

108b2 post κιθαρίζειν, causa homoeoteleuti, πρὸς τὴν ωδὴν ...κιθαρίζειν iteraverunt Pa⁰⁹ Y a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ CS¹⁸⁰; non iteraverunt Z Mo Pal

Hypothesis 2-2-4: The Sc subgroup agree with T in a better reading against Pa⁰⁸, Pa⁰⁹, M or Y (for the evidence see the variants at 111a8 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1).

Hypothesis 2-2-5: The Sc subgroup do not descend from any member of the Y subgroup.

105b6 ἐν pr. scrips. cet.codd. V^{186pc} Ξ^{pc} W⁵⁵: om. Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac} Ξ^{ac} N³³⁷ o R et s.l. supp. V^{186pc} et altro atramento Ξ^{pc}

105d1 διὸ β T Γ Pa⁰⁸ Ang^{ac} Pa⁰⁹ M W⁵⁵ Sc b N³³⁷ Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Bdm P WLοR d: δι' ὅν Pa^{08mg}(eadem manu γρ δι' ὅν) Ang^{pc}(altera manu s.l. ὅν) Pa¹⁴ Pa^{09mg}(eadem manu, ut videtur, γρ δι' ὅν) Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ M^{mg}(γρ.) b²(s.l. v) Ld

106a3 γε scrips. cet.codd. W⁵⁵ maxime, vertit Ficinus: om. Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ

107b9-10 Εἰδότος γὰρ οἴμαι ... πλουτοῦντος. Πῶς γὰρ οὐ; scrips. V^{186pc} cet.codd.; causa homoeoteleuti πῶς γὰρ οὐ om. Bdm Y Z Mo a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac} CS¹⁸⁰ Pal.

109a6 εἰσήνην τε ἄγειν V^{186pc}(s.l. altro atramento τε) Ξ^{pc}(s.l. altro atramento τε) cet.codd.: εἰσήνην ἄγειν Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac} Ξ^{ac}

114d4 νῦν cet.codd.: τοίνυν δὴ νῦν Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ

See also the variants at 110c8 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1 and at 105b5 and 105c2 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-2.

Hypothesis 2-2-6: Sc is relatively more ascendant among the Sc subgroup.

123d6

γεγονώς Sc^{ac} b N³³⁷ Bdm Ld cet.codd.

γεγονώς ἐστι Sc^{pc}(altera manu s.l. ἐστι) s Pa¹¹(sicut ἔτι)

γεγονώς ἔτι Pa¹² V^{590mg}(γεγονώς ἔτι)

127a14 πράττουσιν (127a14) ... τὰ αὐτῶν: (127b3) causa τὰ αὐτῶν homeoteleuti iterav. Sc^{ac} Pa^{11ac}, Pa^{12ac} et οὐδὲ ἄλλα ... οὐκ ἔοικεν delev. Pa^{11pc} φιλοῦνται ... οὐ delev. Sc^{pc} lineis inferioribus notav. Pa^{12pc}

See also the variants in the scholion ad 106a2 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1 and at 123d5 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-1.

3. 3. Codici Laurentianus Plut. 85.6 and Neapolitanus gr. 337 (III E 15)

Hypothesis 3-1: b and N³³⁷ in part descend from some manuscripts other than the other members of the Sc subgroup.

numerus operis: II(=13) Sc^{mg} b^{mg} N³³⁷ om. Pa¹¹ s Pa¹²

titulus: ἀλκιβιάδης α Sc b N³³⁷: ἀλκιβιάδης πρῶτος Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Ld

127b10 καὶ scrips. Pa^{11pc}(s.l. καί) b N³³⁷ Bdm Ld cet.codd.: om. Sc s Pa^{11ac} Pa¹²

130a9 ἡ συναμφότερον/ξυναμφότερον τὸ ὄλον τοῦτο b N³³⁷ cet.codd.: ἡ καὶ ξυναμφότερον τὸ ὄλον τοῦτο Sc Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Ld Bdm

See also the variants at 118a9, 119d1, 122e5 and 126a7 in 3.2, Hypothesis 2-1.

Hypothesis 3-2: Among the Sc subgroup, no other member descends directly from b or N³³⁷.

103b5 ὑπὸ] ἀπὸ b N³³⁷

104a1 ὕι/ὅ/ῶ cet.codd. ὕ Mo Pal om. b N³³⁷

104b6 ἐν om. b N³³⁷

104b8 προσθήσω] προθήσω b N³³⁷

104d1 με] μ' b N³³⁷

104e6 εἰ μὲν b² N^{337pc}(altero atramento i add.) cet.codd.: ε*μεν b N^{337ac}

104e7 σε b²(altero atramento σ fecit) cet.codd.: τε b¹ N³³⁷

104e7 νυνδὴ B T W: νῦν δὴ V C DΨ Γ Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa¹⁴ Pa⁰⁹ Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ W⁵⁵ Sc Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Bdm Ld: νῦν M b N³³⁷ P L o R d

105b7 εἴποι cet.codd. Serrano, si idem tibi diceret deus, vertente : εἴπη Pa⁰⁹ M Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ b N³³⁷ P R concesserit, vertit Ficinus

105b8 δυναστεύει scripsit b N³³⁷ et v s.l. add. b²

105e4 οὐτ'] οὐτε N³³⁷ b

Hypothesis 3-3: No other member of the Sc subgroup descends from N³³⁷.

103a1 οἵματί] εἶναί N³³⁷

103a6 πεύση/πεύσῃ/πεύση cet.codd.: πεύσει B D^{ac} T P πτεύσει V πνεύσῃ N³³⁷

103b2 ἐναντιώσεσθαι cet.codd.: ἐναντιώσασθαι D ἐναντιώμεθα N³³⁷

103b3 κατανένοηκα] κατενενόηκα N³³⁷

104b5 ξανθίππου] ξανθίπου N³³⁷

104c4 ταῦτοῦ scripsit b et ταῦτ'οὐ rescripsit b² τ' αὐτοῦ N³³⁷

104d1 ἐν νῷ/νῷ cet.codd. Pal^{pc}(s.l. v): ἐν ω N³³⁷ ἐνῷ Pal^{ac}

104d2 αὐτὰ ταῦτ' β N³⁴⁰: ταῦτὰ ταῦτ' cet.codd. haec eadem, vertit Ficinus ταῦ τοὺς ταῦτ' N³³⁷

105a1 δ' ἔτερον αὐτὸν β: δὲ ἔτερον αὐτὸν cet.codd. δὲ ἔτερον αὐτὸν N³³⁷

105a2 αὐτὸν ** scripsit b et αὐτὸν σέ scripsit b² αὐτὸν δὲ N³³⁷

105b5 ἐν τοῖς ἄλλοις Ἑλλησι] ἔλλησι om. Sc Pa^{11ac} b s Ld et s.l. supplev. altera manu Pa^{11pc} ἔλλησι τοῖς ἄλλοις N³³⁷

105c2 αὐτὸν om. N³³⁷

105d2 δεινομάχης T Pa⁰⁸ Pa⁰⁹ M Ang Pa¹⁴ Y Z Mo a c N³⁴⁰ V⁵⁹⁰ Sc Pa¹¹ b s Pa¹² Bdm W

L o R: δεινομάχου N³³⁷ δεινομένης BV C(δεινομάχης C^{mg}) Γ d P T^{sl} Pa^{08sl} Pa^{09sl} Y^{sl} a^{sl} c^{sl}
V^{590sl} M^{sl} L^{sl} Sc^{sl} W^{sl} o^{sl} R^{sl} V^{186pc} (altera manu in margine ἡ δεινομένης) *dinomachis*,
vertit Ficinus δεινομενάχης S V^{186ac} Ξ; δεινομένης CS¹⁸⁰ Pal δεινομάχης scrips.
W^{55ac} et punctis μ notato δεινομάχης fecit W^{55pc}
105d6 ἐάσει] ἐάσῃ N³³⁷ ἐάσῃ P (verbum voce activa falso ut atticismum refecerunt) Γ d
See also the variants at 105c2 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-2 and at 105b6 in 3.2., Hypothesis
2-2-5.

Hypothesis 3-4: No other member of the Sc subgroup descends directly from b.

- 103a2 μόνος b^{pc}(altera manu) cet.codd.: μόνως b^{ac}(ut videtur)
- 104c7 γε scrips. N³³⁷ cet.codd.: om. b
- 104d1 πρότερος] πρότερον DΨ b Pa¹²
- 105d5 *ε scripsit b et μ in posuit b²

See also the variants at 111a8 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1.

3. 4. Codex Vaticanus gr. 1030 (s)

Hypothesis 4-1: s in part with Ld descends from some manuscripts other than the other members of the Sc subgroup.

For the evidence see 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1.

Hypothesis 4-2: No other member of the Sc subgroup descends directly from s.

- 104b5 ἐπίτροπον κατέλιπε] κατέλιπεν ἐπίτροπον s
- 106a1 νῦν δ' ἐφῆκεν om. s

3. 5. Codex Parisinus gr. 1812

Hypothesis 5-1: None of the Sc subgroup descends directly from Pa¹².

- 103b3 ως] εἰ Pa¹²
- 104b5 σοί] σόν Pa¹²
- 104b6 ὅτι om. Pa¹² ἀ Pa^{12 mg}(γρ. ἀ)
- 104c5 ποτε] τοτε Pa¹²
- 104d4 σὸν] σου Pa¹²
- 104e8 πάλαι] πάλιν Pa^{12ac} (πάλαι Pa^{12pc i.m.})
- 105a2 γνώσηι/γνώσῃ/γνώση cet.codd.: γνώσει BV DΨ T Pa¹² d
- 105a4 νῦν om. Pa¹²
- 105b6 τοῖς om. Pa¹² o R

105c3 ὄνόματος] ὄνόματι Pa¹²

105c7 οὐν scrips. cet. codd. et Ficinus, qui vertit, *forte etiam et tu confiteberis*: om. Pa¹²
V^{186ac} et supp. altera manu s.l. V^{186pc}

105d4 ἐγὼ δύναμιν οἴμαι β: ἐγὼ οἴμαι δύναμιν cet.codd. οἴδα ἐγὼ δύναμιν Pa¹²

105e1 παντὸς] πάντα Pa¹²

105e6 οὖν om. Pa¹²

127b5 εὖ post οὐκοῦνται add. Pa^{12pc}(altera manu s.l.)

127b8 Πῶς λέγεις, φιλίας μὴ παρούσης, ἡς ἔφαμεν ἐγγιγνομένης parvis litteris
rescripsit Pa^{12pc}

127b9 εὖ οἰκεῖσθαι τὰς πόλεις, ἄλλως δ' οὐ om. Pa^{12ac} et i.m. altera manu, in 127b8
reficiente, supp. Pa^{12pc}

See also the variants at 104d1 in 3.3., Hypothesis 3-4.

Hypothesis 5-2: Some errors in Pa¹² come from Pa¹¹, not from the other members of the Sc
subgroup (for the evidence, see the variants at 123d6 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-6).

3. 6. Etwall's text (Etwall 1771) for Bodleianus Laud. 16, saec. XV

Hypothesis 6-1: No other member of the Sc subgroup descends directly from Ld.

105a3 δοκεῖς] δοκεῖ Ld

121e6 οἱ ἄρσιτοι δόξαντες] δόξαντες οἱ ἄρσιτοι Ld

Hypothesis 6-2: Ld in part descends from some manuscript other than the other members of
the Sc subgroup (for the evidence see the variants at 115b3 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-1; 123d6 in
3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-6; 127b10 in 3.3., Hypothesis 3-1).

3. 7. Codex Bodmer 136

Hypothesis 7-1: Bdm in part does not descend directly from the other members of the Sc
subgroup (for the evidence see the variants at 109c1 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1 and at 106d7 in
3.2., Hypothesis 2-1).

Hypothesis 7-2: Among the Sc subgroup, no other member descends directly from Bdm.

104a1 ὑπερπεφρόνηκας B C DΨ T Γ d Pa⁹⁸ V^{186pc}(altera manu s.l. πε) Ξ W⁵⁵ Sc^{pc}(s.l.

πε) Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Ld P W L o R: ὑπερφρόνηκας cet.codd. V Sc^{ac} b N³³⁷ Bdm

104c4 οὐ λέληθεν] οὐκ ἐνλέληθεν Bdm^{pc}(altera manu νλε s.l. add.) οὐκ εληθεν
Bdm^{ac}

104c5 τοῦ iteravit Bdm^{ac} et delevit Bdm^{pc}

105b8 ἐν om. Bdm

105c1 ἐξέσται] ἐξέσθαι Bdm

See also the variants at 107b9-10 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-5.

Hypothesis 7-3: Bdm in part does not descend directly from the Sc subgroup. Bdm comes from β or Pa^{11pc}.

116d9 δ' εἶναι BV CD^{ac} Pa^{11pc}(altera manu s.l. εἶναι) δὲ εἶναι Bdm: δὲ εἰδέναι D^{pc}(altera manu s.l. δε) Pa^{11ac} cet.codd. δ' εἰδέναι Ψ

119e1

ἀξιοῦν ἀνταγωνίζεσθαι β Γ(ut videtur) Pa^{11pc}(altro atramento ἀξιοῦν supra συν) συνανταγωνίζεσθαι W^{pc}(altera manu s.l. αντ) cet.codd. W⁵⁵

συναγωνίζεσθαι W^{ac} L~~o~~R

συνταγωνίζεσθαι Pa^{12ac}

ἀνταγωνίζεσθαι Pa^{12pc}(ἀν s.l.)

ἀξιοῦν συνανταγωνίζεσθαι Bdm

124d7 ἀπορητέον W^{pc}(altera manu s.l. q) V^{186pc}(q s.l.) Ξ Pal: ἀπορητέον V^{186ac} Pa^{11ac} W^{ac} cet.codd. ἀποκνητέον BCD (partim metathesis inter q et v in typo minusculo) Pa^{11pc}(κν s.l.) Bdm P

127c1 μὴ β Pa^{11pc}(μὴ s.l.) Bdm: om. b N³³⁷ Ld cet.codd.

132d7: βλέπειν Stob. β WL~~o~~R Γ^{pc}(nigriore atramento v s.l.) W⁵⁵ b^{pc}(altera manu s.l. v) N³³⁷ s^{pc}(altera manu s.l. v) Pa^{11pc}(altera manu s.l. v) Pa¹² Bdm Ld c V¹⁸⁶ Ξ: βλέπει T Γ^{ac} Pa⁹⁸ Ang Pa⁹⁹ M Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a N³⁴⁰ V⁵⁹⁰ S Sc b^{ac} s^{ac} Pa^{11ac} P

See also the variants at 115b3 and 126a7 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-1.

3. 8. Some other hypotheses on the subgroup including codex Scorialensis Y. I. 13

Hypothesis 8-1: Among the Sc subgroup, none of s, b, N³³⁷, Ld, and Bdm descends directly from Sc, Pa¹¹ or Pa¹².

105a6 δοκεῖς] δοκῆς Sc Pa¹¹ Pa¹²

Hypothesis 8-2: Among the Sc subgroup, neither Pa¹¹ or Pa¹² descends directly from Sc or N³³⁷ (for the evidence see the variants at 104c5 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-5).

Hypothesis 8-3: Among the Sc subgroup, none of Pa¹¹, s, Ld and Bdm descends directly from Sc, b, N³³⁷, or Pa¹².

105a3 εἴποι β T Γd W⁵⁵ Pa¹¹ s Ld Bdm P W L: εἴπηι Pa⁰⁸ o εἴπηι N³³⁷εἴπη cet.codd. Sc b Pa¹² R si quis deus dixerit, vertit Ficinus (vide 105b1, Ficino, *ascenderis*, vertente)
 105a7 φράσω β d^{pc}(eadem manu s.l. σω) V^{186pc}(sigmate C typo in zeta altera manu inposito) Ξ W⁵⁵ Pa¹¹ s Bdm Ld P W L o R aperiam, vertit Ficinus: φράζω cet.codd. d^{ac} V^{186ac} Sc b N³³⁷ Pa¹²

Hypothesis 8-4: Among the Sc subgroup, none of Pa¹¹, s, Pa¹² and Ld descends directly from b or N³³⁷ (for the evidence see the variants at 104a1 in 3.7., Hypothesis 7-2).

Hypothesis 8-5: Pa^{11pc} and, in part, both Pa¹² and Bdm descend from some other member of the Sc subgroup (for the evidence see the variants at 105b5 in 3.3., Hypothesis 3-3).

Hypothesis 8-6: Among the Sc subgroup, none of Sc, Pa¹¹ and s descends directly from b, N³³⁷, Pa¹² or Bdm (for the evidence see the variants in the scholion ad 106a2 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1).

3. 9. Codex Vindobonensis phil. gr. 21 (Y) and its relative texts

Hypotheses 9-1: Y, Z, Mo, CS¹⁸⁰, Pal, a, N³⁴⁰, c, V⁵⁹⁰, S, V¹⁸⁶, and Ξ form a subgroup.

Y, Z, Mo, CS¹⁸⁰, Pal, a, N³⁴⁰, c, V⁵⁹⁰, S, V¹⁸⁶, and Ξ, although except some of them, agree in omission or error against the other manuscripts.

112d3 οὐ φαίνεται ... εἰδέναι (112d5) scrips. a^{pc}(eadem manu) c^{pc}(altera manu) V^{186pc}(altera manu) cet.codd.: om. Y Z Mo CS¹⁸⁰ Pal a^{ac} N³⁴⁰ c^{ac} V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac}
 See also the variants at 107b9-10 and 109a6 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-5; 110c8 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1; 114d4 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-5.

Hypothesis 9-2: Y descends directly from Pa⁰⁸ or Pa⁰⁹.

Pa⁰⁸, Y and Pa⁰⁹ agree in error.

124c8

ὅσπερ σοι T W⁵⁵ a^{pc}(s.l. ου) N³⁴⁰ c CS^{180pc}(υ ut videtur eraso οι fecit) Pal V^{590mg} S^{pc}(eadem manu ο facto i.t. addidit) V¹⁸⁶ N³³⁷² cet.codd.:
 ὅσπερ συ Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa⁰⁹ Y Z a^{ac} V⁵⁹⁰ S^{ac} CS^{180ac} Mo^{pc}(altero manu i.m. ὅσπερ συ)
 ὅς περὶ σύ Mo^{ac}

See also the variants at 108b2 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-3.

Y^{ac} and Pa^{08ac} agree in a relatively ascendant reading against Pa⁰⁹ and M whereas Y^{pc} and

the other members with Pa⁰⁹ and M agree with Pa^{08pc} in its derivative errant reading (for the evidence see the variants at 111a8 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1).

Hypothesis 9-3: Among the Y subgroup, a, c, V⁵⁹⁰, S and V^{186ac} descend from the Y before correction is made whereas Z, Mo, N³⁴⁰, CS¹⁸⁰, and Pal, from the Y after correction is made.

The former agree in error against the latter.

112c2

τανάγραι Β Τ W:

τανάγρα Ld .

τανάγρα C D Γ W⁵⁵ M^{pc}(nigro atramento altera manu τ fecit) Ang^{pc}(atro atramento sub linea aequa lineam rectam unam fecit) Y^{pc}(dextra linearum rectarum sub typo π erasa) Z N³⁴⁰ CS¹⁸⁰ Pal Mo Sc Pa¹¹ b^{ac} N³³⁷ s Pa¹² Bdm V^{186pc}(altera manu τ fecit) Ξ^{pc}(sinistra linearum rectarum sub typo littera π erasa) P L o R Ficinus (*Tanagra*)

πανάγραι Pa⁰⁸

πανάγρα M^{ac} Y^{ac} a c V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac} Ξ^{ac} b^{pc}(π fecit)

πόναγρα Pa⁰⁹;

Hypothesis 9-4: Among the Y subgroup, Y does not descend directly from Z, Mo, CS¹⁸⁰, Pal, N³⁴⁰, c, V⁵⁹⁰, S, W⁵⁵, or Mo.

numerus operis: IF Ξ: ε (=15) Y^{mg} a V^{186mg} om. Z Mo N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S CS¹⁸⁰ Pal W⁵⁵

Hypothesis 9-5: Among the Y subgroup, none of Y, a, and c descends directly from Z, W⁵⁵, CS¹⁸⁰, Pal, or Mo

scholia: Y(eadem manu) a(eadem manu) c(eadem manu): om. Z W⁵⁵ CS¹⁸⁰ Pal Mo

Hypothesis 9-6: Among the Y subgroup, Y does not descend from a, N, c^{ac}, CS¹⁸⁰ or Pal.

107e1 καὶ τόθ' ὥπότε βέλτιον; ... ναί (107e1-4) scrips. Y Z Mo c^{pc}(altera manu) Pa^{12pc}(altera manu) cet.codd.: causa ναί homoeoteleti om. Pa^{12ac} Ld a N c^{ac} CS¹⁸⁰ Pal

Hypothesis 9-7: Among the Y subgroup, none of the Venetian manuscripts V⁵⁹⁰, S, V¹⁸⁶ and Ξ descends directly from Z, W⁵⁵, CS¹⁸⁰, Pal, or Mo

scholia: V⁵⁹⁰(eadem manu) S(eadem manu) V¹⁸⁶(partim eadem manu) Ξ(eadem manu): om. Z W⁵⁵ CS¹⁸⁰ Pal Mo

3. 10. Codex Laurentianus Plut. 59.1 (a)

Hypothesis 10-1: a in part does not descend directly from Y; and N³⁴⁰ and c in part descends directly from a (for the evidence see the variants at 130d6 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-3).

Hypothesis 10-2: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from a except when the scribe emnds its error.

104d1 σοι] σὺ a

Hypothesis 10-3: a and CS¹⁸⁰ have a common ancestor derived from Y (for the evidence see the variants at 107e1 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-6).

3. 11. Codex Laurentianus Plut. 85.9 (c)

c has a better reading against the other manuscripts.

134e8 ἀν c: om. cet.codd.

Hypothesis 11-1: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from c.

105a2 σὰ scrips. cet. codd.: om. c

See also the variants at 104c5 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-5.

Hypothesis 11-2: N³⁴⁰ and c in part descends directly from a, not Y.

a^{pc}, N³⁴⁰, and c have a common better reading against Y and a^{ac} (see the variants at 124c8 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-2; cf. the variants at 132d7 in 3.7., Hypothesis 7-3).

3. 12. Codex Neapolitanus gr. 340 (III E 18) (N³⁴⁰)

Hypothesis 12-1: None of the Y subgroup descends directly from N³⁴⁰.

104a3 ἀπὸ τοῦ σώματος ἀρξάμενα] ἀρξάμενα ἀπὸ τοῦ σώματος N³⁴⁰

3. 13. Codices Sitavianus MSS A2 and Monacensis gr. 408

Hypothesis 13-1: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from Z

104a1 δὲ] δὲ δὴ Z

104a1 ἐθέλω] ἐθέλωσι Z

Hypothesis 13-2: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from Mo.

103a1 ω̄ om. d Mo

104d7 ω̄ς om. Mo

Hypothesis 13-3: Mo descends directly from Y or Z or a hypothesized ancestor common to Z and Mo other than Y.

Z and Mo agree in a one-word omission against the others.

105c4 σῆς om. Z Mo

Mo's wrong reading comes from Y or Z (see the variants at 124c8 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-2).

Y, Z and Mo have a common better reading against a, N, c^{ac}, CS¹⁸⁰ and Pal (see the variants at 107e1 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-6).

Z, M or their common ancestor corrects Y's multi-word dittography (see the variants at 108b2 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-3).

Y and Z agree in movable nu.

105c2 πρᾶγμασιν] πρᾶγμαστ Y Z

Y, Z and Mo agree in omission against a, N³⁴⁰, c, CS¹⁸⁰ and Pal (see the variants at 130d6 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-3).

3. 14. Codices Conventi Soppressi 180 and Palatinus gr. 175

Hypothesis 14-1: a hypothetical common ancestor of CS¹⁸⁰ and Pal descends from Y but in part descends from some manuscripts other than the other members of the Y subgroup.

CS¹⁸⁰ and Pal agree in a multi-word omission by homoeoteleuton against the other members of the Y subgroup (see the variants at 126a7 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-1).

CS¹⁸⁰ and Pal agree in a one-word omission against the other manuscripts.

133d12: τὰ τῶν ἔαυτῶν cet.codd.: τὰ ἔαυτῶν CS¹⁸⁰ Pal

CS¹⁸⁰ and Pal agree in error against the others.

105c1 οὐδὲ] οὐδ' Γ d CS¹⁸⁰ Pal

See also the variants at 105d2 in 3.3., Hypothesis 3-3.

Hypothesis 14-2: a and CS¹⁸⁰ have a common ancestor derived from Y (for the evidence see the variants at 107e1 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-6).

Hypothesis 14-3: CS¹⁸⁰ in part does not descend directly from Y; CS¹⁸⁰ and Pal have a common better reading against Y.

105c2 οὐδ' B C DΨ Γ d CS¹⁸⁰ Pal: οὐδὲ cet.codd.

See also the variants at 130d6 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-3.

Hypothesis 14-4: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from CS¹⁸⁰.

108e9: γε Γ^{pc} cet.codd.: om. β W L ο R τε Γ^{ac} CS¹⁸⁰

132a1: διαφθαρῆις/ διαφθαρῆς/ διαφθαρῆς cet.codd.: διαφθαρῆν CS¹⁸⁰

Hypothesis 14-5: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from Pal.

103a1 σε] γε Pal

104d2 ἐλπίδα βλέπων cet.codd. Pal^{pc}(α supra ἐλπίδα et β supra βλέπων scrips.): βλέπων ἐλπίδα Pal^{ac}

105a1 διανοήματα ... νοῦν δια causa homoeoarcti om. et τέτελεκα scrips. Pal

105a3 τίς σοι] τι σοι Pal

105b2 ἐνδείξεσθαι BV C D d *demonstraturum*, vertit Ficinus: ἐνδείξασθαι Ψ τ Γ Ang Pa¹⁴ Z a N³⁴⁰ c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ W⁵⁵ Mob N³³⁷ Bdm οὐ δεξασθαι Pal

Pal has a better reading than the Y subgroup except V^{186pc} and Ξ (see the variants at 124d7 in 3.7., Hypothesis 7-3).

CS^{180pc} and Pal have a common better reading than Y, Z, a^{ac} and Mo^{ac} except the Venetians derived from V^{590mg}(see the variants at 124c8 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-2).

Pal corrects CS¹⁸⁰'s multi-word dittography (see the variants at 108b2 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-3).

3. 15. Veneti gr. 590, 189 (S), 186 and 184 (Ξ)

Hypothesis 15-1: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from any of the later Venetian manuscripts.

115b9 ἔλκη cet.codd.: τὰ ἔλκη β V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ

Hypothesis 15-2: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from V⁵⁹⁰, S, or V^{186ac}.

V⁵⁹⁰, S and V^{186ac} agree in omission against the other manuscripts.

106b4 ἔχει scrips. V^{186pc}(s.l. altera manu) cet.codd.: om. V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac}

110b1 σοῦ scrips. V^{186pc}(s.l. altera manu ov) cet.codd.: om. V⁵⁹⁰ S V^{186ac}

Hypothesis 15-3: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from S or V^{186ac}.

109a8 δύναμαι V^{186pc}(punctis σαι notato μαι s.l. add.) cet.codd.: δύνασαι S V^{186ac}

Hypothesis 15-4: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from S, V^{186ac} or Ξ^{ac}.

133b7 ὠ scrips. V^{186pc}(altera manu) Ξ^{pc}(altera manu) cet.codd.: om. S V^{186ac} Ξ^{ac}

133b9-10 εἰς τοῦτο ... εἰς ἄλλο scrips. V^{186pc}(altera manu) Ξ^{pc}(altera manu): om. S V^{186ac} Ξ^{ac}

Hypothesis 15-5: No other member of the Y subgroup descends directly from V¹⁸⁶ or Ξ (for the evidence see the variants at 105c7 in 3.5., Hypothesis 5-1).

Hypothesis 15-6: V¹⁸⁶ in part descends from T's close descendant, not T itself or its close descendant S.

V¹⁸⁶ and Ξ have a common better reading against the other members of the Y subgroup.

128d6 σαντοῦ pr. Γ^{pc}(σ i.t.) V¹⁸⁶ Ξ W⁵⁵: ἐαντοῦ β αύτοῦ T P(compendio) αύτοῦ Γ^{ac} cet.codd.

Hypothesis 15-7: No other member of the Y subgroup descends from V¹⁸⁶.

117a8 οὐκοῦν καὶ cet.codd.: οὐκοῦν γε καὶ V¹⁸⁶

Hypothesis 15-8: V^{186pc} does not descend directly from T (for the evidence see the variants at 124d7 in 3.7., Hypothesis 7-3).

3. 16. Vindobonensis suppl. gr. 55 (W⁵⁵)

Hypothesis 16-1: W⁵⁵ descends from T's close descendant, not Pa⁰⁸, Pa⁰⁹, M, Y or Sc.

W⁵⁵ has peculiar errors.

epigrapha: ḥ : om. W⁵⁵

104b8 πολοῖς scrips. W^{55ac} et compendium λλ fecit altera manu W^{55pc}

104c1 τούτωι B D T Pa⁰⁸ Sc W τούτω Ψ Pa⁰⁹ Y c V⁵⁹⁰ S: τούτω V C Γ d Ang Pa¹⁴ M Z a N³⁴⁰ V¹⁸⁶ Ξ W^{55pc}(nigriore atramento accentu reficto ω fecit) CS¹⁸⁰ Pal Mo Pa¹¹ s b N³³⁷ Pa¹² Bdm o R τούτ' P τούτο W^{55ac}

104c3 ἐρστῶν scrips. W^{55ac} et altera manu α a.l. add. W^{55pc}

104c3 ἐκκρατήθησαν scrips. W^{55ac} et altrum kappa punctis notavit W^{55pc}

104e8 ἀπηλλάγμην] ἀπηλλάγμην C^{ac} (λ inseruit C^{pc}); ἀπηλλάμην scrips. W^{55ac} et altera manu s.l. γ add. W^{55pc}

105d3 γάρ ... ἐμοῦ om. W^{55ac} et altera manu in margine supp. W^{55pc}

105d5 εὶ σὲ scrips. W^{55ac} et σ post ει altera manu add. W^{55pc}

105d7 σὺ] σοι W⁵⁵

106a3 καίτοι cet.codd. W^{55pc}(altero atramento s.l. οι): καί τι W^{55ac}

107e6 σὺ ἄμεινον ... τρόπον (107e11) causa homoeoteleuti om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc}

110a1 τὰ δίκαια ... οὐκ ὡν εἰδέναι causa homoeoteleuti om. W^{55ac} Pa^{12ac} et altera

manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc} eadem manu Pa^{12pc}

110a4 ἀλλ' ὥμην ... πέμπτον (110a5) om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc}

116c3 φαίνεται ... ἀγαθὸν causa homeoteleti fortasse de καλόν τε καὶ ἀγαθόν om.

W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc}

116d6: ὡς ἔσικα ... εἴτε (116d8) om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supplev. W^{55pc}

123d1 ὁ Αλκιβιάδης ... οὗτος (123d3) causa homoeoteleti et homoeoarcti di οὗτος ὁ
om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc}

125e1: ποιεῖ BCD V^{186pc}(v delete) Ξ s Pa¹¹ Pa¹² Bdm W L o R Ficinus (*doceit*) : ποιεῖν
cet.codd. κοινωνούντων (125e1)... πολιτείας (125e4) om. W⁵⁵;

126c6 τιν' ... διὰ (126c8) causa homoeoteleti om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supplev.
W^{55pc}

126c12 ναί ... αὐτὸς αὐτῷ ἔκαστος causa homoeoteleti om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m.
supp. W^{55pc}

Hypothesis 16-2: W⁵⁵ descends directly from one or some of the manuscripts: DΨ T Pa⁰⁸ Ang
Pa⁰⁹ M Sc Pa¹¹ s Y a c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ W and L.

107e6 scholion in margine πυκτίειν ἡ παγκρατιάζειν πρὸς ἔτερον ἀνευ συμπλοκῆς
ἢ ὅλως ἀκραις ταῖς χερσὶ μετε ἄλλου γυμνάζεσθαι inter καὶ τίσιν ἀκρο- et
ἀκροχειρίζεσθαι inseruit W^{55ac} et ἀκρο bruneo atramento s.l. scripto punctis notavit
W^{55pc} (scholion ad ἀκροχειρίζεσθαι in margine scrips. : πυκτεύειν ἡ παγκρατιάζειν
πρὸς ἔτερον ἀνευ συμπλοκῆς ἢ ὅλως ἀκραις ταῖς χερσὶ μετὰ ἄλλου γυμνάζεσθαι
DΨ T Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa⁰⁹ M Sc Pa¹¹ s Y a c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ WL; πυκτεύειν παγκρατίζειν
ἀκραις ταῖς χερσὶ μετ'ἄλλου γυμνάζεσθαι P; ἀκραις ταῖς χερσὶ μετ'ἄλλου
γυμνάζεσθαι Mo: non scrips. BV C Γ d b N³³⁷ Pa¹² Bdm Z N³⁴⁰ CS¹⁸⁰ Pal o R)
scholion ad ἀκροχειρίζεσθαι:

πυκτεύειν DΨ T Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa⁰⁹ M Sc Pa¹¹ s Y a c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ P WL: πυκτίειν W⁵⁵

μετὰ DΨ T Pa⁰⁸ Ang Pa⁰⁹ M Sc Pa¹¹ s Y a c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ P WL: μετε W⁵⁵ μετ' Ξ Mo

Hypothesis 16-3: W⁵⁵ does not descend directly from any member of the B group.

104b3 τοὺς πρὸς μητρὸς ... συμπάντων scrips. W⁵⁵: om. C

107a10 βουλεύωνται C^{pc}(s.l. εν) W⁵⁵: βούλωνται BV C^{ac} DΨ

110b8 με scrips. W⁵⁵: om. DΨ

113b1 παντὸς W⁵⁵: παντὸς τοῦ λόγου β

115d9 ἔμοιγε ... δειλίαι scrips. W⁵⁵: om. C

117b9 ἐγὼ scrips. W⁵⁵: om. β

118b1 οἵει W⁵⁵: om. β

119b5 ἀν τὸν W⁵⁵: αὐτὸν τὸν BV CD^{ac} αὐτὴν τὸν D^{pc}(altera manu Hv imposito) αῦ τὸν Ψ

120b5 κολακεύσοντες D^{pc}(altera manu C i.t.)Ψ W⁵⁵: κολακεύοντες BV CD^{ac}

121b1 σε δέοι W⁵⁵ : δέ σοι C δέοι BV DΨ

122d5 γῆν μὲν C^{pc}D^{pc}Ψ W⁵⁵ : τῆν μὲν BV C^{ac}D

123a3 τετραμμένα C^{pc} W⁵⁵: γεγραμμένα BV C^{ac}DΨ

124a1 λεωτυχίδου D^{pc}(λεω inposito)Ψ W⁵⁵: λευτύχιδου B^{pc}(λ inposito)V δ' ευτυχίδου B^{ac}(δ ut videtur) C D^{ac}(ut videtur)

124e10 ἀλλὰ ... ναί (124e14) W⁵⁵: om. C DΨ

130e3 λόγωι/λόγω W⁵⁵: om. BV

131b1 ἄς C^{pc}(altera manu s.l. ας) W⁵⁵: om. B C^{ac}D(haplographia -ας)Ψ

132b7 ἐφοβούμεθα W⁵⁵: φοβούμεθα β

132c1 τοῦτο δὴ ὅτι Stobaeus: τοῦτο δὲ ὅτι W⁵⁵ τοῦτο ἦδη β

132c8 καὶ ἡμᾶς W⁵⁵: ἡμᾶς β

133c1 θειότερον C^{pc}(altera manu s.l. ἡ θειότερον) W⁵⁵: θειότατον Eusebius Theodoretus νοερώτερον BV C^{ac}DΨ

134b4 ἀθλιότητος C^{pc}(altera manu s.l.) D^{pc}(i. m. γρ. ἀθλιότητος)Ψ W⁵⁵: ματαιότητος B C^{ac}D^{ac}Ψ^{pc}(s.l. eodem atramento γρ. ματαιότητος)

Hypothesis 16-4: W⁵⁵ does not descend directly from the W group.

122e3 πόλλας ... γενεὰς W⁵⁵: πόλλαις ... γενεαῖς β W L o R

130d4: ἀντὶ C D^{pc}(v fecit) W⁵⁵: ἀν τι W L o R αὺ τι BV αῦ τι D^{ac} ἀντὶ Ψ

135a2: ἐπιπλήττοι τις W^{ac} L^{ac} R W⁵⁵: ἐπιπλήτη τις C^{pc}(altera manu τον eraso H i.t. et σ s.l. add.) ἐπιπλήττοντι B C^{ac}DΨ ἐπιπλήττη W^{pc}(s.l. H)L^{pc}(eodem atramento s.l. H) ἐπιπλήττει o

133e9 πολιτικός L^{pc}(eodem atramento it pro εμ fecit) W⁵⁵: πολεμικός W L^{ac}

105d7-e3 ὥσπερ γάρ σὺ ἐλπίδας ἔχεις ἐν τῇ πόλει, οὕτω κἀγὼ παρὰ σοὶ ἐλπίζω μέγιστον δυνήσεσθαι ἐνδειξάμενος ὅτι ... β: ὥσπερ γάρ σὺ ἐλπίδας ἔχεις ἐν τῇ πόλει ἐνδείξασθαι ὅτι ..., ἐνδειξάμενος δὲ ... δυνήσεσθαι, οὕτω κἀγὼ παρὰ σοὶ ἐλπίζω μέγιστον δυνήσεσθαι ἐνδειξάμενος ὅτι ... W⁵⁵: ὥσπερ γάρ σὺ ἐλπίδας ἔχεις ἐν τῇ πόλει ἐνδείξασθαι ὅτι ..., ἐνδειξάμενος δὲ ... δυνήσεσθαι, ἐνδειξάμενος ὅτι ... W L o R

122d1 τι W⁵⁵ : om. W L o R

132b3 καὶ W^{pc}(altera manu) W⁵⁵: om. W^{ac} L o R

See also the variants at 119e1 in 3.7, Hypothesis 7-3.

Hypothesis 16-5: W^{55} does not descend directly from P.

105c5 ἡγεῖσθαι W^{55} : om. P

112a3 νὴ δι' W^{55} : om. P

113b2 ναί W^{55} : om. P

116c14 ναί W^{55} : om. P

125d3 γ' αὐ πάνυ γε W^{55} : om. P

127b1 οὐκ ἔοικεν W^{55} : om. P

Hypothesis 16-6: W^{55} does not descend directly from any of the Sc subgroup.

107b7 ἀγεννής Bdm^{pc}(altera manu s.l. v) W^{55} : ἀγενής Pa¹¹ Pa¹² Bdm^{ac} N

118b3 κινδυνεύω scrips. Pa^{11pc} W^{55} : om. Sc s Pa^{11ac} Pa¹²

123b5 παρελθεῖν Pa^{11pc}(s.l. εἰν) W^{55} : διελθεῖν Syrianus παρελθὼν s Pa^{11ac}

123d5 ὅτι W^{55} : ως Sc s Pa¹¹ Pa¹²

126c12 ναί scrips. Pa^{11pc}(s.l. ναί) W^{55} : om. Sc s Pa^{11ac}

127a4 αὐ φαίης/φαιήις ἀν W^{55} b: φαίης ἀν Sc Pa¹¹ s Pa¹² Bdm

129b7 ναί scrips. Pa^{11pc} W^{55} : om. Sc s Pa^{11ac}

129d6 καὶ χερσίν scrips. Pa^{11pc}(extra lineam καὶ χερσίν) Pa^{12pc}(i.m. καὶ χερσίν) W^{55} : om. s Pa^{11ac} Pa^{12ac}

See also the variants at 105a4 and 105d4 in 3.5., Hypothesis 5-1; 115b3 and 123d5 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-1; 119e1 in 3.7, Hypothesis 7-3; 130a9 in 3.3., Hypothesis 3-1.

Hypothesis 16-7: W^{55} does not descend directly from Γ or d.

106b11 ἄ φημί σε W^{55} : ἀφίημι σοι Γ d

106a6 μοι scrips. W^{55} : om. d

106b8 οὐ χαλεπόν scrips. W^{55} : om. d;

Hypothesis 16-8: W^{55} does not descend directly from the Y subgroup.

105d1 λόγον cet.codd. W^{55} : τὸν λόγον V^{186pc}s.l. Ξ

105c7 ἵσως ἀν οὖν cet.codd. W^{55} : ἵσως οὖν ἀν Ξ

109a1 συμβουλεύσεις β T^{ac} Γ d V^{186pc}(altera manu s.l. σ) W^{55} P W L o R: συμβουλεύεις T^{pc}(supra sigma puncto notavit) V^{186ac} cet.codd. 113a4 ἔρωμαι Ol.λ: ἐρῶ καὶ cet.codd. W^{55} : ἐρωτῶ καὶ Γ Ξ

See also the variants at 104a1 bis in 3.13., Hypothesis 13-1; 105a3 and a7 in 3.8., Hypothesis 8-3; 105b5 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-2; 108b2 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-3; 105b6, 105d1, 106a3, 107b9-10, 109a6 and 114d4 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-5; 112d3 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-1; 107e1 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-6; 105a2 in 3.11., Hypothesis 11-1; 133d12 in 3.14, Hypothesis 14-1; 108e9 and 132a1 in 3.14., Hypothesis 14-4; 106b4 and 110b1 in 3.15., Hypothesis 15-2; 133b7 and 133b9-10 in 3.15., Hypothesis 15-4.

Hypothesis 16-9: W⁵⁵ does not descend directly from Pa⁰⁸, Pa⁰⁹ or M, but rather from V¹⁸⁶ after correction is made or T (for the evidence see the variants at 109c2 ($\pi\lambda\varepsilon\mu\epsilon\tau\eta$ at 109c1), 111a8 and 130d6 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-1; 108b2 in 3.2., Hypothesis 2-2-3; 124c8 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-2; 112c2 in 3.9., Hypothesis 9-3).

Hypothesis 16-10: W⁵⁵ does not descend directly from Ang or Pa¹⁴ (for the evidence see the variants at 105c3 and 106c9 in 3.1., Hypothesis 1-3).

Hypothesis 16-11: W⁵⁵ would less likely descend directly from T.

105e4 $\iota\kappa\alpha\nu\circ\varsigma$ Γ^{pc} cet.codd. W⁵⁵: $\iota\kappa\alpha\nu\omega\varsigma$ T Γ^{ac} ($\omega\varsigma$ compendio)

129b3 $\check{\nu}\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma \beta$ Pa^{08pc}(eadem manu ε s.l.) Ang^{pc}(altera manu ε s.l.) Pa^{09pc}(eadem manu ε s.l.) Y^{pc}(eadem manu ε s.l.) Z a^{pc}(ε s.l.) W⁵⁵: $\check{\nu}\nu\tau\circ\varsigma$ T Pa^{08ac} Ang^{ac} Pa^{09ac} Y^{ac} a^{ac} cet.codd.

See also the variants at 128d6 in 3.15., Hypothesis 15-6.

Hypothesis 16-12: W⁵⁵ and Γ^{pc} descend directly from a common ancestor derived from T, not Pa⁰⁸.

W⁵⁵ and Γ^{pc} have a common better reading against T and Γ^{ac} (see the variants at 128d6 in 3.15., Hypothesis 15-6; 132d7 in 3.7., Hypothesis 7-3).

The following variants suggest that the exemplar of W⁵⁵ belongs to the T group.

116c3 $\phi\acute{\alpha}\nu\eta\tau\alpha\iota \dots \dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\circ\eta$ causa homoeoteleuti in T-genere fortasse de $\kappa\alpha\lambda\circ\eta\tau\alpha$ τε καὶ $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\circ\eta$ om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc};

123d1 ὁ Αλκιβιάδης ...οὔτος (123d3) causa homoeoteleuti et homoeoarcti di οὔτος ὁ in T-genere om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc};

126c12 ναὶ ... αὐτὸς αὐτῷ ἔκαστος causa homoeoteleuti in T-genere om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc}

However, the omissions without homoeoteleuton do not suggest which manuscript to determine among the T group.

116d6: ώς ἔοικα ... εἴτε (116d8) om. W^{55ac} et altera manu i.m. supp. W^{55pc}

In T the word φαίνομαι at 116d6 appears in the second word at fol. 121^v, col. 1, l. 30. In the next line the word Πεπαρηθίοις is not located under the end of the word φαίνομαι where the scribe should have read again if he had stopped looking at the exemplar, copied the line up to the word φαίνομαι and then wrongly skipped over one line. Nor is it in Sc (fol. 156^v, ll. 19-20), Pa⁰⁹(fol. 165^r, ll. 11-12) or M(fol. 179^v, ll. 12-13). In contrast, Πεπαρηθίοις in the next line is located just under the end of the word φαίνομαι in Pa⁰⁸ (fol. 205^r, ll. 14-15), almost just under in Pa¹¹(fol. 148^v, ll. 22-23) and with both words over the end of the line in V¹⁸⁶ (fol. 232^r, ll. 32-34).)

Concerning the omission without homoeoteleuton at 105d3, the omission does not cover one line in T, V¹⁸⁶, Pa¹¹ while it covers almost one line in Pa⁰⁸; concerning the omission without homoeoteleuton at 110a4, the omission does not cover a line in Pa⁰⁸, V¹⁸⁶ or Pa¹¹, while it covers almost one line in T; concerning the omission at 123d1-d3, the second οὗτος ὁ is located two lines under the end of the first in T (fol. 122^v, ll. 44-46) and one line a little rightward under in V¹⁸⁶ (fol. 233^v, ll. 16-17), while it is not under in Pa⁰⁸ (fol. 207^v, ll. 12-14) or Pa¹¹ (fol. 151^r, ll. 14-5).

Bibliography

- Etwall, G. (1771), *Platonis Dialogi III*, Oxford.
- Pasquali, G. (1962²), *Storia della tradizione e critica del testo*, Firenze.
- Taki, A. (2012), ‘Hypotheses of the Textual Interrelations among Some Medieval Manuscripts of the Platonic *Alcibiades I*’, *The Bulletin of the Graduate School of Josai International University [= BGSJIU]*, 16 (2012) 1-24.
- (2014), ‘Some Modern Editors’ Discrepancies from the Manuscripts in Their *apparatus critici* of the Platonic *First Alcibiades*’, *BGSJIU*, 17 (2014) 25-41.
- (2015), ‘Hypotheses on the Textual Interrelations of Florentine and Coislian Manuscripts in the Transmission of the Platonic *First Alcibiades*’, *BGSJIU*, 18 (2015) 1-12.
- (2016), ‘Hypotheses on the Textual Interrelations among Some Later Venetian Manuscripts in the Transmission of the Platonic *First Alcibiades*’, *BGSJIU*, 19 (2016) 47-64.
- (2017), ‘Hypotheses on the Textual Transmission of the Platonic *First Alcibiades* in Ficino’s Translation and Four 16th Century Editions of Plato’s Works’, *BGSJIU*, 20 (2017) 47-68.

Notes:

1. Pasquali (1962²).
2. Taki (2012); (2014); (2015); (2016); (2017).
3. Scholia: B T Pa⁰⁸ Pa⁰⁹ Y a c V⁵⁹⁰ S V¹⁸⁶ Ξ M Sc Pa¹¹ s W L R: om. V C Ψ Γ d W⁵⁵ N Pa¹² b P o.

Thanks are due to Professor Peter J. Rhodes for reading my English. This work is supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grant Number 22520323 and 26370363.